UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of Ameri	ca		
	v.)	
Jerjuan Orien Brooks) Case No:	5:11-CR-51-1BR
			,	-
Date of Origina	l Judgment:	October 3, 2011)	33030 030
Date of Previou	s Amended Judgment:	August 19, 2015		
(Use Date of Last A	Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant'	s Attorney
	ORDER REGARI	DING MOTIO	N FOR SE	ENTENCE REDUCTION
	1 011			
Upon n	notion of the defendance	dant	or of the Burea	u of Prisons
taking into acce	ount the sementing factor	ors set form in 18 C	7.5.C. g 3333(i	i), to the extent that they are applicable,
IT IC ODDED	ED 4h at the meeting in			
		and the defendant	e previouely i	mnosed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
				A
, 0	-			
C D 1*	(60	mpiere i aris i ana ii oj	Tage 2 when mo	non is granieu)
Date of Original Judgment: October 3, 2011 Joseph Ross Joseph Ross Joseph Ross Defendant's Autorney				
		•		
Except as other	wise provided, all provi	sions of the judgme	ent(s) dated O	ctober 3, 2011, and August 19, 2015,
Order Date:	4/16/2019		25	O FERMI
Order Date.	10/2017		Near	(Xues
				or the state of t
Effective Date:	(*C 1*CC		Earl Britt Senio	
	(if different from order date	e)		Printed name and title

Defendant was charged with, and convicted of, conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base and 500 grams or more of cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, with conduct concluding in February 2010. At the time of sentencing, the applicable statutory penalties for that offense were not less than 10 years nor more than life imprisonment and 5 years to life supervised release. The Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 modified those penalties to not less than 5 years nor more than 40 years imprisonment and 4 years to life supervised release. Accordingly, the court concludes that defendant is eligible for relief under the First Step Act of 2018. See United States v. Davis, No. 07-CR-245S(1), 2019 WL 1054554, at *2 (W.D.N.Y. Mar. 5, 2019). Although defendant is eligible for relief, he is not entitled to plenary resentencing. Id.

Initially, the court downwardly departed from the bottom of the sentencing guidelines range and imposed a term of imprisonment of 120 months. Subsequently, on defendant's motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the court proportionately reduced defendant's term of imprisonment from the bottom of the amended sentencing guidelines range to 96 months. Under the First Step Act, considering the new statutory penalties as if they were in effect at the time defendant committed the subject offense, defendant's reduced guideline range for imprisonment is the same as the amended guideline range determined on defendant's § 3582(c)(2) motion; however, defendant's reduced guideline range for supervised release is 4 years. The court, in its discretion, declines to reduce defendant's term of imprisonment below the reduced guideline range. Defendant's term of imprisonment remains 96 months. The term of supervised release is reduced to 4 years.